

March 24, 1975

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: NPPD, OK. Why can't this be handled by the Rules and Regulations?

SENATOR SIMPSON: I don't think that by rules and regulations you do this. Maybe you can, if you can I would be agreeable that it can happen. But I don't really think that you can make such a requirement in the rules and regulations. I think that this is legislative.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: OK, one other question. Why wouldn't, if this is desirable, why wouldn't you want it for all of them? For a 100%?

SENATOR SIMPSON: Oh, no. We are not having a 100% mobile homes that are electrical, so it would be silly to go ahead and put this kind of expense in a total lot when it is not necessary. You can be set off one area of it and wire it differently than the rest of it so that you can have this type of hook-up. It would be very unusual for any size of a lot to have all electric homes in that lot.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: OK, I just don't....could you hang on with me for a moment and we will have a little discussion.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Until the Chair cuts us off.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Don't most lots supply the electricity if they are letting to electric homes. What happens? What is the problem? You mean that there are lots where people move in and buy a lot and they have an electric mobile home and there is no electricity?

SENATOR SIMPSON: This is a rental lot that you are talking about, they don't buy these, they rent them. Ordinarily a good...first of all a good share of the lots are now used were in effect before there were electrical mobile homes. Before there was total electric homes, so there was no need the wiring was made for lights, refrigerator, and a few other incidentals such as this. So it is very light wiring. This takes an entire different type of wiring to handle this.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: So this would put those lots out of business?

SENATOR SIMPSON: No, it wouldn't bother them what-so-ever. We are only talking about new lots. Then if they wish to go 100% that would be fine, except that you should put at least one-fifth of them.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: OK, I just don't think that this is an appropriate matter for legislation, I think that this is something that should be left up to the private enterprise and I would oppose the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Marvel.

SENATOR MARVEL: I have had some questions from some members and I wonder if Senator Simpson would you yield to a couple of questions? Regarding to the fiscal impact to the bill. As I understand it reading the fiscal note on LB91 that the money basically is going to come from cash and in the amount of \$44,000 and that on the rear second sheet of this fiscal